Genome 540 discussion

March 6th, 2025 Joe Min



Agenda

A short history of programming languages

Microservice architecture in web applications

A short history of programming languages

General purpose programming languages

What we know and love

Used for making:

- Data analysis pipelines
- Websites
- Machine learning models



How we got here: punch cards

1804 (!!): Joseph Marie Jacquard

Textiles could be woven into repeatable patterns using punch cards

Holes in the cards influence the loom mechanically





How we got here: punch cards



First described in **1837**, by Charles Babbage

Similar punch card concept

"Memory" of 1000 numbers of up to 40 digits (~17kb)

Steam powered???

How we got here: punch cards

First "program" was made by Ada Lovelace to calculate the







https://blogs.bodleian.ox.ac.uk/adalovelace/2018/07/26/ada-lovelace-and-the-analytical-engine/ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Analytical_engine

How we got here: machine code

1945: John Mauchly and J. Presper Eckert introduce the first general purpose electronic computer, Electronic Numerical Integrator And **C**omputer (ENIAC)

All binary instructions



How we got here: machine code

- ENIAC is basically a set of pre-programmed functional units wired up to each other
- E.g., if you wanted to take a product after a sum, you would run a wire from the multiplier to the adder



How we got here: assembly code



In **1951**, the UNIVAC I (Universal Automatic Computer I) came on the scene

Used assembly instead of raw binary instructions

How we got here: assembly code

MONITOR FOR 6802 1.4 9-14-80 TSC ASSEMBLER PAGE 2 C000 ORG ROM+\$0000 BEGIN MONITOR C000 8E 00 70 START LDS #STACK ****** * FUNCTION: INITA - Initialize ACIA * INPUT: none * OUTPUT: none * CALLS: none * DESTROYS: acc A 0013 RESETA EOU 800010011 0011 CTLREG EQU 800010001 C003 86 13 INITA LDA A #RESETA RESET ACIA C005 B7 80 04 STA A ACIA C008 86 11 LDA A #CTLREG SET 8 BITS AND 2 STOP C00A B7 80 04 STA A ACIA C00D 7E C0 F1 JMP SIGNON GO TO START OF MONITOR * FUNCTION: INCH - Input character * INPUT: none * OUTPUT: char in acc A * DESTROYS: acc A * CALLS: none * DESCRIPTION: Gets 1 character from terminal C010 B6 80 04 INCH LDA A ACIA GET STATUS C013 47 ASR A SHIFT RDRF FLAG INTO CARRY C014 24 FA BCC INCH RECIEVE NOT READY C016 B6 80 05 LDA A ACIA+1 GET CHAR C019 84 7F AND A #\$7F MASK PARITY

In **1951**, the UNIVAC I (Universal Automatic Computer I) came on the scene

Used assembly instead of raw binary instructions

OUTCH

ECHO & RTS

JMP

C01B 7E C0 79

How we got here: human readable code

At the Eckert–Mauchly Computer Corporation, Grace Hopper pushed for human-readable programming languages, eventually leading to FLOW-MATIC in **1955**

This was the first English-

like programming language

- (0) INPUT INVENTORY FILE-A PRICE FILE-B ; OUTPUT PRICED-INV FILE-C UNPRICED-INV FILE-D ; HSP D .
- (1) COMPARE PRODUCT-NO (A) WITH PRODUCT-NO (B); IF GREATER GO TO OPERATION 10; IF EQUAL GO TO OPERATION 5; OTHERWISE GO TO OPERATION 2.
- (2) TRANSFER A TO D .
- (3) WRITE-ITEM D .
- (4) JUMP TO OPERATION 8 .
- (5) TRANSFER A TO C .
- (6) MOVE UNIT-PRICE (B) TO UNIT-PRICE (C) .
- (7) WRITE-ITEM C .
- (8) READ-ITEM A ; IF END OF DATA GO TO OPERATION 14 .
- (9) JUMP TO OPERATION 1 .
- (10) READ-ITEM B ; IF END OF DATA GO TO OPERATION 12 .
- (11) JUMP TO OPERATION 1 .
- (12) SET OPERATION 9 TO GO TO OPERATION 2 .
- (13) JUMP TO OPERATION 2 .
- (15) REWIND B .
- (16) CLOSE-OUT FILES C ; D .
- (17) STOP . (END)

How we got here: high-level languages



Fortran (FORTRAN) first compiled correctly in **1958**

Developed at IBM by a team led by John W. Backus

Kicked off the era of high-level programming languages

Microservice architecture in web applications

First, an aside

This blog post was published in 2014 but it holds true to this day over 10 years later

All code is bad

Every programmer occasionally, when nobody's home, turns off the lights, pours a glass of scotch, puts on some light German electronica, and opens up a file on their computer. It's a different file for every programmer. Sometimes they wrote it, sometimes they found it and knew they had to save it. They read over the lines, and weep at their beauty, then the tears turn bitter as they remember the rest of the files and the inevitable collapse of all that is good and true in the world.

This file is Good Code. It has sensible and consistent names for functions and variables. It's concise. It doesn't do anything obviously stupid. It has never had to live in the wild, or answer to a sales team. It does exactly one, mundane, specific thing, and it does it well. It was written by a single person, and never touched by another. It reads like poetry written by someone over thirty.

First, an aside

This blog post was published in 2014 but it holds true to this day over 10 years later

Every programmer starts out writing some perfect little snowflake like this. Then they're told on Friday they need to have six hundred snowflakes written by Tuesday, so they cheat a bit here and there and maybe copy a few snowflakes and try to stick them together or they have to ask a coworker to work on one who melts it and then all the programmers' snowflakes get dumped together in some inscrutable shape and somebody leans a Picasso on it because nobody wants to see the cat urine soaking into all your broken snowflakes melting in the light of day. Next week, everybody shovels more snow on it to keep the Picasso from falling over.

Imagine building an online store. We need:

- User management (registration; logging in; account details)
- Product catalog (item names, price, and availability)
- Orders (shopping cart, payments)

In general all relevant information for these will live in some database (e.g., a SQL database)

Consider a user logging in, scrolling through the catalog, and adding something to their cart. This requires:

- Securely authenticating the user with their password against the database
- Pulling images and prices for catalog items from the database
- Updating the user's cart in the database

If a single part experiences an error, the whole application fails because it's basically a single copy of a running program

If a single part experiences an error, the whole application fails because it's basically a single copy of a running

program



If a single part experiences an error, the whole application fails because it's basically a single copy of a running

program



We could scale out, but each additional copy is huge

User management

Product catalog

Orders

Database

User management

Product catalog

Orders

Database

User management

Product catalog

Orders

Database

Small microservices can be better

If, instead, we split this into many different programs running separately, even if one service goes down, all other functionality is maintained!









Small microservices can be better

We can also scale out only the services that need to be scaled out (e.g., the most used)





Reminder:

Homework 8 is due Sunday, March 9th at 11:59pm!